Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 1/26/2012 3:59:11 PM Filing ID: 80047 Accepted 1/26/2012

BEFORE THE POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

MAIL PROCESSING NETWORK RATIONALIZATION SERVICE CHANGES, 2011

Docket No. N2012-1

RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO DAVID POPKIN INTERROGATORIES (DBP/USPS-32 AND 33)

(January 26, 2012)

The United States Postal Service provides institutional responses to the abovelisted interrogatories of David Popkin dated January 12, 2012. Each interrogatory is stated verbatim and followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Anthony F. Alverno Chief Counsel Global Business & Service Development

Michael T. Tidwell

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260-1137 (202) 268-2998; Fax -5402 January 26, 2012

RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO INTERROGATORY OF DAVID B. POPKIN

DBP/USPS-32 [a] Should the Northern New Jersey Metro P&DC consolidate its operation to the DVD P&DC as proposed, will it now be necessary to bring my mail to the DVD P&DC rather than the NNJ Metro P&DC if I want to receive the SCF destination rate for mail that presently receives the SCF destination rate by bringing it to the NNJ Metro P&DC?

[b] Will any of the proposed consolidations throughout the country maintain their BMEU and/or destination drop off capability so as not to require additional travel? [c] If so, why isn't this capability being utilized at the NNJ Metro P&DC?

RESPONSE:

[a] The Postal Service cannot provide an accurate response to this subpart without more specific identification of the mail class or product considered in this hypothetical situation.

[b-c] The impact will depend upon the results of the individual AMP studies.

RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO INTERROGATORY OF DAVID B. POPKIN

DBP/USPS-33 Please confirm, or explain if you are unable to confirm, each of the following statements:

- [a] There are a number of ZIP Code pairs that presently have overnight service standards for First-Class Mail.
- [b] All of these ZIP Code pairs will convert to either 2-day or 3-day service standards for First-Class Mail.
- [c] This conversion to 2-day and 3-day service standards represents a reduction in service.
- [d] The price for First-Class Mail will remain the same before and after the conversion noted in subpart [b] above.
- [e] A reduction in service while maintaining the same price represents a price increase.
- [f] A similar price increase would also exist in First-Class Mail which is converted from a 2-day service standard to a 3-day service standard.
- [g] Mailers who have a need to obtain the service standard that presently exists for First-Class Mail that is being converted to a slower service in the present Docket, will still be able to obtain the current delivery standard by sending their mail as Priority Mail or Express Mail.
- [h] Priority Mail and Express Mail have a higher price than First-Class Mail.

RESPONSE:

- [a] Confirmed.
- [b] Not confirmed. Some ZIP Code pairs will retain an overnight standard for qualifying mail.
- [c] Please see the response to subpart b. A conversion from 2-day to 3-day service can be viewed as a reduction.
- [d] Each First-Class Mail price implemented in January 2012 will eventually change in some direction at some point after the conversion. The direction and timing have not been determined.
- [e] Not confirmed. Although the price would remain the same, the Postal Service will not attempt to dissuade you from that view.

RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO INTERROGATORY OF DAVID B. POPKIN

RESPONSE to DBP/USPS-33 continued:

- [f] It is not clear from this question which price increases are being regarded as similar for purposes of comparison.
- [g] Please see the response to subpart b. It is confirmed that there will continue to be a measure of overlap between the service standards for First-Class Mail, Priority Mail and Express Mail.
- [h] This statement describes historical prices.